

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/688,281	YUN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	A. Dexter Tugbang	3729	

All Participants:

(1) A. Dexter Tugbang.

(2) Raffaele DeMarco, for Applicant(s).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 March 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

16-20

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**A. DEXTER TUGBANG
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In the specification, the applicant(s) have agreed to change the Priority statement (on page 1) to include the current status. The applicant(s) have also agreed to change the abstract such that it would conform to the claimed invention. And finally, the applicant(s) have also agreed to the changes in each of Claims 16-20 to correct mere informalities with the language (e.g. grammar) as these changes do not affect the scope of the claimed invention. The changes above place the application in condition for allowance..